## NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

Year Ended December 31, 2010

### NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SINGLE AUDIT REPORT

#### Year Ended December 31, 2010

#### CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Programs Tested and Controls  Over State Transportation Assistance Evapored Record on an Audit of Financial	
Over State Transportation Assistance Expended Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1-2
Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended	3
Notes to Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for State Transportation Assistance Expended	5

#### BOLLAM, SHEEDY, TORANI & CO. LLP

Certified Public Accountants Albany, New York

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR PROGRAMS TESTED AND CONTROLS OVER STATE TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE EXPENDED BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Saratoga Springs, New York Saratoga Springs, New York

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Saratoga Springs, New York (City) with the types of compliance requirements described in the preliminary Draft Part 43 of the New York State Codification of Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) that are applicable to each state transportation assistance program tested for the year ended December 31, 2010. The program tested is identified in the summary of audit results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to the program tested is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Draft Part 43 of NYCRR. Those standards and Draft Part 43 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above, that could have a direct and material effect on the state transportation assistance programs tested, has occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied in all material respects with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to the state transportation assistance program tested for the year ended December 31, 2010.

#### **Internal Control over Compliance**

The management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to state transportation assistance program tested. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on state transportation assistance program tested in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with Draft Part 43 of NYCRR.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, that would be material in relation to state transportation assistance program tested, may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended

We have audited the financial statements of the City as of December 31, 2010, and for the year then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated July 27, 2011. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the City's financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by Draft Part 43 of NYCRR, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City of Saratoga Springs, New York's management and the New York State Department of Transportation. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Ballam Sheedy Towni & 6 UP

Albany, New York July 27, 2011

#### SCHEDULE OF STATE TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE EXPENDED

New York State Department of Transportation/ Program Title	Year Ended December 31, 2010	
	Program Number	Expenditures
Consolidated Highway Improvement Program	1100.00 (a)	\$ 501,311

(a) Municipal Code used for Consolidated Highway Program.

See accompanying Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Programs Tested and Controls Over State Transportation Assistance Expended Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

## NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF STATE TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE EXPENDED Year Ended December 31, 2010

#### **NOTE 1 - GENERAL**

The accompanying Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended of the City of Saratoga Springs, New York (City) presents the activity of all financial assistance programs provided by the New York State Department of Transportation.

#### **NOTE 2 - BASIS OF ACCOUNTING**

The accompanying Schedule of State Transportation Assistance Expended is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

#### **NOTE 3 - INDIRECT COSTS**

There were no indirect costs.

#### **NOTE 4 - MATCHING COSTS**

There were no matching costs.

#### **NOTE 5 - AMOUNTS PAID TO SUBRECIPIENTS**

There were no subrecipients.

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR STATE TRANSPORTATION ASSISTANCE EXPENDED Year Ended December 31, 2010

#### Section I - Summary of Results

Internal control over State transportation assistance expended:

Material weakness(es) identified None reported

Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered

to be material weakness(es)

None reported

Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for programs tested:

Unqualified

Summary of audit findings: N/A

Identification of State Transportation Assistance Programs tested:

Consolidated Highway Improvement Program

#### **Section II - Compliance Findings and Questioned Costs**

No matters were reported.