Single Audit Reports

Year Ended December 31, 2016

Single Audit Reports

Year Ended December 31, 2016

#### CONTENTS

	Page
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	1
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	2-3
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	4-5
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance	6-7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Federal Grantor/	Year Ended December 31, 2016			
Pass-through Grantor/	Pass-through		Federal	
Program Title	CFDA #	Grantor #	Expenditures	
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development/				
Community Development Block Grants - Entitlement Grants	14.218	B12MC3-60017	\$ 42,744	
Community Development Block Grants - Entitlement Grants	14.218	B14MC3-60017	28,184	
Community Development Block Grants - Entitlement Grants	14.218	B15MC3-60017	204,170	
Community Development Block Grants - Entitlement Grants	14.218	B16MC3-60017	206,684	
Community Development Block draine Emilionent Grante	14.210	Browed doorn	481,782	
Shelter Plus Care	14.238	NY06C72-3008	164,269	
			646,051	
United States Department of Interior National Park Service/ Historic Preservation Fund Grants-In-Aid/				
Certified Local Government Grants	15.904	CLG 14-09/T361409	3,270	
United States Department of Justice/				
New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services/				
Regional Drug Enforcement Task Force	16.579	TF07898733	12,082	
Bureau of Justice Assistance/				
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.607	BUBX07038073	5,297	
Children Exposed to Violence	16.818	N/A	12,623	
Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	N/A	69,247	
			81,870	
			99,249	
United States Department of Transportation/				
New York State Department of Transportation/				
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.205	D033994-175959	60,092	
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.205	N/A	3,208	
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.205	D033659	213,491	
			276,791	
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration/ New York State Department of Motor Vehicles/Governor's Traffic				
Safety Committee/				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	DMV01-T005441-3700393	12,190	
			\$ 1,037,551	

N/A - Pass-through number not available from pass-through entity.

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*, and Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended December 31, 2016

#### Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### a. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the City of Saratoga Springs, New York (City) under programs of the federal government for the year ended December 31, 2016. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). The purpose of the Schedule is to present a summary of those activities of the City funded by the federal government or pass-through entities for the year ended December 31, 2016, using the accrual basis of accounting. For purposes of this Schedule, federal awards include assistance provided by a federal agency directly or indirectly in the form of grants, contracts, cooperative agreements, loans and loan guarantees, and other non-cash assistance.

Negative amounts, if any, on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made to prior year expenditures in the normal course of business.

#### b. Relationship to Financial Statements

Federal award revenues are reported in the City's financial statements as federal aid. The City's government-wide financial statements are presented using the accrual basis. The Schedule presents only a selected portion of the activities of the City. It is not intended to, and does not, present either the financial position, statement of activities, or other changes in net assets of the City.

#### c. Direct and Indirect Costs

Expenditures for direct and indirect costs are recognized as incurred using the accrual method of accounting and in accordance with OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments* and the Uniform Guidance. Under these cost principles, certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

#### d. Matching Costs

Matching costs represent the City's share of certain program costs and are not included in the Schedule.

#### Note 2 - Noncash Assistance

There were no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance by the City during the year ended December 31, 2016.

#### Note 3 - Loans and Loan Guarantees

The City participates in the Community Development Revolving Loan Program and had \$236,770 in economic development and rehabilitation loans to recipients outstanding at December 31, 2016.

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended December 31, 2016

#### Note 4 - Insurance

The City did not participate in any federal insurance programs during the year ended December 31, 2016.

#### Note 5 - Subrecipients

Of the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, the City provided federal awards to subrecipients as follows:

Community Development Block Grants

14.218

\$178,588



# Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Saratoga Springs, New York Saratoga Springs, New York

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Saratoga Springs, New York (City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated July 26, 2017.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Saratoga Springs, New York Page 5

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

BST & CO. CPAS, LLP

Albany, New York July 26, 2017





# Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Saratoga Springs, New York Saratoga Springs, New York

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Saratoga Springs, New York's (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the City's major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016. The City's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the City's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended December 31, 2016.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for its major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Saratoga Springs, New York Page 7

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated July 26, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

BST & CO. CPAS, LLP

Albany, New York July 26, 2017



Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended December 31, 2016

#### Section I - Summary of Independent Auditor's Results

Financial Statements						
Type of auditor's report issued: Unmodi	ified					
Internal control over financial reporting:						
<ul> <li>Material weaknesses identified?</li> <li>Significant deficiencies identified that</li> </ul>					X	
not considered to be material we					<u>X</u>	
Noncompliance material to financial stat	tements noted	1?	-	Yes	X	No
Federal Awards						
Internal control over major programs:						
Material weaknesses identified?			_Yes	X	No	
<ul> <li>Significant deficiencies identified that not considered to be material we</li> </ul>				_Yes	X	No
Type of auditor's report issued on comp	liance for maj	or program: Unmo	dified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are req to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)	quired			_Yes	X	No
Identification of major programs:						
CFDA Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster					
14.218	Community Development Block Grant - Entitlement Grants					
Dollar threshold used to distinguish betw type A and type B programs:	veen				<u>\$750,000</u>	
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?			X	_Yes		No
Section II - Financial Statement Finding	ngs					
None noted.						
Section III - Findings and Questioned	Costs for Fe	ederal Awards				
None noted.						