CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

SALUTE TO FLAG

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD / 15 MINUTES

PRESENTATIONS
1. UDO Technical Report

CONSENT AGENDA
1. Approval of 5/6/19 Pre-Agenda Meeting Minutes
2. Approval of 5/7/19 City Council Meeting Minutes
3. Approve Budget Amendments – Regular (Increases)
4. Approve Budget Transfers - Regular
5. Approve Payroll 05/10/19 $482,357.48
6. Approve Payroll 05/17/19 $506,390.07
7. Approve Warrant - 2019 19MWMAY2 $6,000.00
8. Approve Warrant – 2019 19MWMAY3 $84,044.07
10. Approval to Pay Invoice#18-23159B-A in the Amount of $520.00 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall
11. Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159D-A in the Amount of $14,495.50 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall
12. Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159C-A in the Amount of $7,705.00 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall

MAYOR’S DEPARTMENT
1. Appointment: Aili Lopez to the Arts Commission
2. Announcement: Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) – May 22 Public Presentations
3. Announcement: HUD Program Year Review 17-18 for the Community Development Grant (CDBG) Program
4. Discussion and Vote: SEQRA Evaluation for Saratoga Springs Downtown Connector
5. Discussion and Vote: Extension of Time for Planning Board Advisory Opinion re: Draft Zoning Map
6. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign the Clean Energy Communities (CEC) Program Grant
7. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Building Use Request with BOCES for Exam Room – Plumbers Board Exam

ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT
1. Discussion and Vote: Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159E-A to Alpine Environmental Services in the Amount of $10,732.50
2. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Constellation Energy for Electric
3. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Direct Energy for Gas Supply
4. Discussion and Vote: Donation of Sick Time
5. Appointment: Elaina Richardson to the Arts Commission
6. Announcement: Grievance Day – May 28, 2019
7. Award of Bid: Kaydeross Avenue and Nelson Avenue Water Main Replacement to Bellamy Construction Company, Inc.
8. Announcement: Minor Track Exemption

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
1. Announcement: City Retains AA+ Rating from S&P Global Ratings
3. Discussion and Vote: A Resolution in Support of the Paris Climate Agreement
4. Discussion and Vote: Local Law #1 – A Local Law to Establish a Sustainable Energy Loan Program (Open C-PACE) in the City of Saratoga Springs
5. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Municipal Agreement with the Energy Improvement Corporation (“Energize NY Open C-PACE Financing Program”)
6. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Health Insurance Contract with MVP Health Care
7. Discussion and Vote: Budget Amendment – Use of Fund Balances City Center
8. Discussion and Vote: Budget Amendment - Payroll
9. Discussion and Vote: Budget Transfers - Payroll

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
1. Appointment: Lisa Kolosek to the Arts Commission
2. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Change Order #1 and #2 with Envirodyne Systems, Inc. for Flocculation Tank Equipment Purchase
3. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Change Order #2 with Trinity Construction, Inc. for Flocculation Tank Equipment Installation
4. Discussion and Vote: Water Leak Adjustment
5. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Core & Main for Pipes, Fittings, Hydrants, and Valves
6. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with FW Webb Company for Pipes, Fittings, Hydrants, and Valves
7. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Bellamy Construction Company, Inc. for Kaydeross Avenue and Nelson Avenue Water Main Replacement

PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT
1. Discussion and Vote: Approval to Pay Voucher to Saratoga Historic Properties in the Amount of $4,500
2. Discussion and Vote: Donation of Sick Time
3. Announcement: Re-Appointment to Complete Streets Advisory Board
4. Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Work Scope Agreement in the Amount of $4,344 for Emergency Repair Work and to Request Purchase Order Without Quotes

SUPERVISORS

Matt Veitch
1. Zim Smith Trail Update
2. Employment & Training Department Update

Tara Gaston
1. May Board Meeting
2. Veterans
3. NYSAC Budget Analysis

ADJOURN
May 21, 2019

CITY OF SARATOGA SPRINGS
City Council Meeting
Recreation Center
15 Vanderbilt Avenue
7:00 PM

PRESENT: Meg Kelly, Mayor
Michele Madigan, Commissioner of Finance
John Franck, Commissioner of Accounts
Anthony Scirocco, Commissioner of DPW
Peter Martin, Commissioner of DPS

STAFF PRESENT: Lisa Shields, Deputy Mayor
Mike Sharp, Deputy Commissioner, Finance
Joe O’Neill, Deputy Commissioner, DPW
John Daley, Deputy Commissioner, DPS

Vincent DeLeonardis
Matthew Veitch, Supervisor
Tara Gaston, Supervisor

EXCUSED: Maire Masterson, Deputy Commissioner, Accounts

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Kelly called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

RECORDING OF PROCEEDING

The proceedings of this meeting were taped for the benefit of the secretary. Because the minutes are not a verbatim record of the proceedings, the minutes are not a word-for-word transcript.

PRESENTATIONS

UDO Technical Report

Arista Strungys of Camiros advised their idea is to implement City policy. One of the key things to do with a UDO is to compartmentalize. The UDO breaks down into bigger compartments. They look to give a document that is easy to navigate and consistent. They are looking to update the use structure or uses within the UDO. They will also make sure the use structure within a district work together.

Chris of Camiros advised the current ordinance contains more than 30 zoning districts. Goal is to develop districts that will complement the charter of the community, implement the City’s larger goals; and reduce variances and special approvals. Chris reviewed various requirements; some which will be removed or
incorporated elsewhere. He also reviewed each of the residential and commercial districts with their recommendations; the overlay districts: gateway design I & II; and incentive districts.

Commissioner Madigan asked for a summary of feedback from public on this presentation.

(Video on City’s web page of this Council meeting contains the full presentation.)

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Local Law #1 – Energize NY Open C-Pace Financing

Mayor Kelly opened the public hearing at 7:06 p.m.

Rob Dorche from Buffalo stated he is working with a company here who is looking to take advantage of C-Pace financing. It's a great opportunity.

Mayor Kelly closed the public hearing at 7:08 p.m.

Liberty Affordable Housing

Mayor Kelly opened the public hearing at 7:08 p.m.

Commissioner Franck stated this relates to the proposed project at the end of Jefferson Street.

Darlene McGraw stated she was informed of the definition of affordable workforce housing is not more than 30% of your income for people whose income is between $30,000 - $60,000.

Mayor Kelly concluded the public hearing at 7:09 p.m. and kept it open.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Mayor Kelly said the public comment period is limited to a total of 15 minutes and individuals are limited to two minutes.

Mayor Kelly opened the public comment period at 7:10 p.m.

Dr. Richard Falivena, chief medical officer of Saratoga Hospital stated having a single location for a medical office center is critical to attract and retain the best doctors in New York. Saratoga Hospital always acts in the best interest of the community. He asked the citizens of Saratoga Springs to trust the hospital leadership to continue to make the right decisions.

Dennis Holte of 19 Collins Terrace stated it is not a building lot; it is 17 acres. He questioned why the hospital is putting their facility against residential housing. They can build on the Mackee estate so the whole thing will be west of Myrtle Street.

Anna Avital of 14 Woodland Court stated there is an increase in traffic even with a sign stating ‘dead end’. This is a safety issue increasing traffic. There is a line-up of cars on Myrtle outside the emergency room. This is about keeping their neighborhood.

Ann Mathiesen-McCraig just moved back to Saratoga; she is sad to see the overdevelopment in her home town.
Ina Horny of Seward Street stated their neighborhood was stolen in the middle of the night at the comprehensive meeting. No one is against the hospital or the hospital having a office building, they are against have an office building in their neighborhood. After hours, doesn’t the hospital have good emergency room doctors to handle and emergency?

Matt Jones stated he is pleased with Camiros’ presentation. This is not the first Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan of the ‘70’s authors talk about planning being a continuing process and circumstances change. Plans need to change accordingly. The hospital is pleased to see this heading this way.

Penny Lockwood of Myrtle Street stating putting an office building in her neighborhood goes against the goal of balance. Her neighborhood is zoned residential which was suppose to protect them. She is also concerned about the environmental impact and lighting on the neighborhood.

Dean Higgins of 15 Saratoga Circle stated he is speaking of the parcel the hospital is proposing they build on. He has not heard any basis or background as to why this piece of property should be changed to commercial property. Is council planning to approve the zoning map ahead of UDO?

Alice Smith of Woodland Court stated they are tired of hearing the history of the Comprehensive Plan. The City Council should do their obligation and look into it carefully. She is frustrated by this and the new proposals.

Frank Marshall of Stillwater works at Saratoga Hospital. The people at Saratoga Hospital care and want to help. Any project they put forward only helps and enhances to serve the community.

Darlene McGraw of Saratoga Springs stated she can't stand Saratoga Hospital. It is not fair to these neighborhoods.

Mayor Kelly closed the public comment period at 7:32 p.m.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

Mayor Kelly moved and Commissioner Scirocco seconded to approve the consent agenda as follows:

1. Approval of 5/6/19 Pre-Agenda Meeting Minutes
2. Approval of 5/7/19 City Council Meeting Minutes
3. Approve Budget Amendments – Regular (Increases)
4. Approve Budget Transfers - Regular
5. Approve Payroll 05/10/19 $482,357.48
6. Approve Payroll 05/17/19 $506,390.07
7. Approve Warrant - 2019 19MWMAY2 $6,000.00
8. Approve Warrant – 2019 19MWMAY3 $84,044.07
10. Approval to Pay Invoice#18-23159B-A in the Amount of $520.00 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall
11. Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159D-A in the Amount of $14,495.50 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall
12. Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159C-A in the Amount of $7,705.00 to Alpine Environmental Services for Additional Asbestos Analysis of City Hall

Ayes – All
MAYOR’S DEPARTMENT

Appointment: Aili Lopez to the Arts Commission

Mayor Kelly appointed Aili Lopez to the Arts Commission.

Announcement: Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) – May 22 Public Presentations

Mayor Kelly announced there will be public presentation regarding the UDO on May 22nd. The first presentation will be from noon – 2 p.m. at the Arts Center and the second presentation will be at the Recreation Center from 5:30 p.m. – 7:30 p.m.

Announcement: HUD Program Year Review 17-18 for the Community Development Grant (CDBG) Program

Mayor Kelly stated her office received the annual HUD Program review. The Review was positive and determined the activities undertaken were consistent with the City's 2015 – 2019 consolidated plan goals, objectives, and priority needs. The following highlighted were noted in HUD’s review: Saratoga Springs exceeded housing and service goals set in the 5 year consolidated plan and the City funded $105,000 loan to KCG Development to support Link at SOBRO.

Discussion and Vote: SEQRA Evaluation for Saratoga Springs Downtown Connector (19-202)

Mayor Kelly reviewed each question of part II & III with the Council and answered each (attached).

**Mayor Kelly moved and Commissioner Martin seconded that this project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, the environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.**

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Extension of Time for Planning Board Advisory Opinion re: Draft Zoning Map (19-203)

Mayor Kelly advised the Planning Board voted unanimously to ask the Council for a 30 day extension to respond with an advisory opinion.

**Mayor Kelly moved and Commissioner Madigan seconded to approve the extension of time for the Planning Board advisory opinion regarding the draft zoning map as included with this agenda.**

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign the Clean Energy Communities (CEC) Program Grant (19-204)

Mayor Kelly stated the City is looking to convert City owned street lighting to LED. The City has received an award from NYSERDA in the amount of $50,000 to purchase fixtures, retrofit decorative lighting and pay for the cost of researching options. There is no match for this grant.

**Mayor Kelly moved and Commissioner Martin seconded to authorize the mayor to sign the Clean Energy Communities Program Grant as included with this agenda.**

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Building Use Request with BOCES for Exam Room – Plumbers Board Exam (19-205)
Mayor Kelly advised the Board of Plumbing Examiners has created a 2 part exam; written and practical. BOCES will provide the space for the practical portion at no cost to the City.

Mayor Kelly moved and Commissioner Madigan seconded to authorize the mayor to sign the building use request with BOCES for exam room – plummers board exam as included with this agenda.

**Ayes - All**

**ACCOUNTS DEPARTMENT**

**Discussion and Vote: Approval to Pay Invoice #18-23159E-A to Alpine Environmental Services in the Amount of $10,732.50 (19-206)**

Commissioner Franck advised this invoice is in relation to asbestos air sampling at City Hall.

**Commissioner Franck moved and Commissioner Scirocco seconded to approve payment of invoice #18-23159E-A to Alpine Environmental in the amount of $10,732.50.**

**Ayes - All**

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Constellation Energy for Electric (19-207)

Commissioner Franck advised the City’s electric contract is up this year. The broker found the best price with Constellation Energy. This contract is for 2 years at $0.05327/kWh and will run from 9/12/19 – 9/25/21. The contract needs to be signed tonight to lock in the rate.

**Commissioner Franck moved and Commissioner Madigan seconded to authorize the mayor to sign a 2 year contract with Constellation Energy at $0.05327 per kilowatt hour.**

**Ayes – All**

**Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Direct Energy for Gas Supply (19-208)**

Commissioner Franck advised the gas delivery contract is also up this year. The best pricing is for 2 years at $3.636/Dth. The contract will be effective 1/1/20 – 12/31/21. We will need to sign the contract tonight to lock the rate in.

**Commissioner Franck moved and Commissioner Martin seconded to authorize the mayor to sign a 2 year contract with Direct Energy for gas supply at $3.636 /Dth.**

**Ayes – All**

**Discussion and Vote: Donation of Sick Time (19-209)**

**Commissioner Franck moved and Commissioner Martin seconded to approve the donation of 40 hours sick time from employee #1084 to employee #2446.**

**Ayes – All**

**Appointment: Elaina Richardson to the Arts Commission**

Commissioner Franck appointed Elaina Richardson to the Arts Commission for a term of 5/22/19 – 4/30/20. Elaina was the editor in Chief for Elle magazine for many years before coming to Yaddo as
president. She also had the prestigious honor of being selected to participate in the 2nd class of The Chief Executive Program by National Arts Strategies. Elaina will be a great asset to the Arts Commission.

Announcement: Grievance Day – May 28, 2019

Commissioner Franck announced this year the date is Tuesday, May 28th. There are 3 sessions in which grievances are heard: 9:00 a.m. – noon; 1:00 p.m. – 4:00 p.m.; and 7:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m. in the City Council Room. If there are any questions, please contact the Assessment Office.

Award of Bid: Kaydeross Avenue and Nelson Avenue Water Main Replacement to Bellamy Construction Company, Inc. (19-210)

Commissioner Franck moved and Commissioner Scirocco seconded to award the bid for the Kaydeross Avenue and Nelson Avenue Water Main Replacement to Bellamy Construction Company, Inc. in the amount not to exceed $1,308,770.

Ayes - All

Announcement: Minor Track Exemption

Commissioner Franck announced to encourage minors to become entrepreneurs; we are continuing the program again this year of having minors sell water at the track. Minors and their parent/guardian will need to come in to City Hall/Recreation Center to sign up and get their IDs issued. Information on minors selling water at the track has been updated and can be found on the City’s home page under the section “Latest Information”; on the City Clerk’s page; or by stopping in to or calling the City Clerk’s Office at 518-587-3550 ext. 2546.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT

Announcement: City Retains AA+ Rating from S&P Global Ratings

Commissioner Madigan announced the Finance Department is currently in the debt issuance process. This rating reflects the City’s strong management and strong budget flexibility. The bond sale is expected to occur the first week in June.

Discussion: 1st Quarter 2019 Financial Report

Commissioner Madigan advised the report was previously distributed with the agenda and can be found on line. She read the entire report into the record (copy attached).

Discussion and Vote: A Resolution in Support of the Paris Climate Agreement (19-211)

Commissioner Madigan advised the City is a member of Climate Mayors; a network of US officials working together to demonstrate leadership on climate change through actions in their communities.

Commissioner Madigan read the following resolution into the record:

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SARATOGA SPRINGS IN SUPPORT OF THE PARIS AGREEMENT

WHEREAS, consensus exists among the world’s leading climate scientists that global warming caused by emissions of greenhouse gases from human activities is among the most significant problems facing the world today; and
WHEREAS, documented impacts of global warming include but are not limited to increased occurrences of extreme weather events (e.g. droughts and floods), adverse impacts on ecosystems, demographic patterns and economic value chains; and
WHEREAS, the State of New York through Executive Order #166 has mandated statewide reduction of GHG emissions to 80% below 1990 levels by 2050; and
WHEREAS, responding to the climate change provides communities an opportunity to access first mover advantage in the range of products, services and know-how that transitioning to a climate-compatible future brings; and
WHEREAS, the Paris Agreement resulted in a commitment from almost every nation to take action and enact programs to limit global temperature increase to less than 2 degrees Celsius, with an expectation that this goal would be reduced to 1.5 degrees in the future;  
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE CITY OF SARATOGA SPRINGS:

1. Indicates its commitment to reducing GHG emissions through the creation and implementation of a Climate Action Plan; and 
2. Joins other US cities in the Climate Mayors network in adopting and supporting the goals of the Paris Agreement; and 
3. Commits to exploring the potential benefits and costs of adopting policies and programs that promote the long-term goal of GHG emissions reduction while maximizing economic and social co-benefits of such action.

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve the resolution as uploaded with the agenda.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Local Law #1 – A Local Law to Establish a Sustainable Energy Loan Program (Open C-PACE) in the City of Saratoga Springs (19-212)

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve Local Law #1 of 2019 to establish a sustainable energy loan program; Open C-PACE in the City of Saratoga Springs.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Municipal Agreement with the Energy Improvement Corporation ("Energize NY Open C-PACE Financing Program") (19-213)

Commissioner Madigan advised this agreement is also needed in addition to the resolution previously passed.

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve the mayor to sign the municipal agreement and membership letter with the energy improvement corporation as distributed with the agenda.

Ayes - All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Health Insurance Contract with MVP Health Care (19-214)

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve the mayor to sign health insurance contract with MVP Health Care as previously distributed with the agenda.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Budget Amendment – Use of Fund Balances City Center (19-215)

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve budget amendment – use of fund balances City Center as previously distributed with the agenda.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Budget Amendment – Payroll (19-216)

Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve budget amendment – payroll as previously distributed with the agenda.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Budget Transfers – Payroll (19-217)
Commissioner Madigan moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve budget transfers – payroll as previously distributed with the agenda.

Ayes - All

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Appointment: Lisa Kolosek to the Arts Commission

Commissioner Scirocco appointed Lisa Kolosek to the Arts Commission.

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Change Order #1 and #2 with Envirodyne Systems, Inc. for Flocculation Tank Equipment Purchase (19-218)

Commissioner Scirocco advised change order #1 is a decrease in the contract price of $922.54 and change order #2 is in the amount of $3,600 is for the purchase of equipment.

Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize the mayor to sign change order #1 with Envirodyne for a credit of $922.54 for additional work performed by the City and #2 in the amount of $3,600 for the 2 wall mounted sprocket tensioners.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Change Order #2 with Trinity Construction, Inc. for Flocculation Tank Equipment Installation (19-219)

Commissioner Scirocco advised this change order is to credit the remaining allowance back to the City.

Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize the mayor to sign change order #2 with Trinity Construction for the remaining credit of $18,077.46 for the flocculation tank project.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Water Leak Adjustment (19-220)

Commissioner Scirocco stated the residents of 149 Grand Avenue are requesting a water leak adjustment. They noticed the billing in August was 3 times the amount; caused by a leak. The line has been repaired.

Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Madigan seconded to adjust the February 2019 bill acct#: 040760 to remove the sewer charge of $2,135.78 and reduce the water charge to $100; which is their normal bill.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Core & Main for Pipes, Fittings, Hydrants, and Valves (19-221)

Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Martin seconded to authorize the mayor to sign contract with Core & Main for pipes, fitting, hydrants, and valves in the amount of $15,593.40.

Ayes – All

Discussion and Vote: Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with FW Webb Company for Pipes, Fittings, Hydrants, and Valves (19-222)
Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize the mayor to sign contract with FW Webb for pipes, fittings, hydrants, and valves in the amount of $18,999.

Ayes – All

**Discussion and Vote:** Authorization for Mayor to Sign Contract with Bellamy Construction Company, Inc. for Kaydeross Avenue and Nelson Avenue Water Main Replacement (19-223)

Commissioner Scirocco moved and Commissioner Madigan seconded for the mayor to sign contract with Bellamy Construction Company in the amount of $1,308,770 Kaydeross Avenue West and Nelson Avenue water main replacement.

Ayes - All

**PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENT**

**Discussion and Vote:** Approval to Pay Voucher to Saratoga Historic Properties in the Amount of $4,500 (19-224)

Commissioner Martin advised this is to pay rent for a room in the Collamer Building to be used by the Police Department.

Commissioner Martin moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to approve payment of voucher to Saratoga Historic Properties in the amount of $4,500.

Ayes – All

**Discussion and Vote:** Donation of Sick Time (19-225)

Commissioner Martin advised employee #2748 would like to transfer 120 hours of sick time to employee #2446.

Commissioner Martin moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize the transfer of sick time as just described.

Ayes - All

**Announcement:** Re-Appointment to Complete Streets Advisory Board

Commissioner Martin re-appointed Erin Maciel to the Complete Street Advisory Board for a 1 year term.

**Discussion and Vote:** Authorization for Mayor to Sign Work Scope Agreement in the Amount of $4,344 for Emergency Repair Work and to Request Purchase Order Without Quotes (19-226)

Commissioner Martin stated this is to obtain an emergency purchase order without quotes for Bonacio Construction for emergency repairs to the prisoner transport corridor of the Police Department.

Commissioner Martin moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize mayor to sign work scope agreement with Bonacio Construction, Inc., without quotes in the amount of $4,344 for emergency repair work.

Ayes - All
Commissioner Martin moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to add an item to the agenda regarding a MOU with police officers for sick use time. (19-227)

Ayes – All

Commissioner Martin stated this is to allow the police officers union and the police supervisors union to transfer sick time.

Vince advised this is between the City, the PBA, and the AOU (Administrative Officers Union) regarding allowing a member of the AOU to donate sick time to a member of the PBA. One employee will able to donate to another employee. If the sick time is not used by the end of 2020, it will be forfeited.

Commissioner Martin moved and Commissioner Franck seconded to authorize mayor to sign the Memorandum of Understanding with the PBA and the Administrative Officers Union. (19-228)

Ayes- All

SUPERVISORS

Matt Veitch

Zim Smith Trail Update

Supervisor Veitch reported the construction of the trail is ongoing. The path from Coons Crossing Road to the City of Mechanicsville is mainly complete. There will be an increase in the parking area in the Round Lake area. Restrooms will be constructed on the trail.

Employment & Training Department Update

Supervisor Veitch reported they passed their budget for employment and training. They received more money from the federal government this year than last year. The County website has information on employment and training.

Tara Gaston

May Board Meeting

Supervisor Gaston reported the Saratoga County animal shelter will no longer receive road kill for disposal unless there is a suspicion of rabies. The board passed a resolution in opposition of the Farm Worker Fair Labor Practices Act.

Veterans

Supervisor Gaston reported they honored Tracy E. Millis today.

NYSAC Budget Analysis

Supervisor Gaston reported Saratoga County will be reduced by 10% in CHIPS and Pave New York funding. She has forwarded all information to Commissioner Madigan.
ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Mayor Kelly adjourned the meeting at 8:54 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Lisa Ribis
Clerk

Approved: 6/4/19
Vote: 5 - 0
Technical Review + Approaches
Summary of Concepts and Recommendations

This document summarizes the goals of the Unified development ordinance process, and presents a series of key concepts, approaches, and recommendations made in the full Technical Review and Approaches Report prepared for the City of Saratoga Springs. Each concept outlined herein is explained in greater detail in the full report, now available to the public.

What are the Goals of a Unified Development Ordinance for Saratoga Springs?

**Implement** City Policies as articulated in documents such as the Comprehensive Plan, Complete Streets Plan and Policy, Urban and Community Forest Master Plan, and Greenbelt Trail Plan.

**Organization and Ease of Use**

- The UDO should employ a logical system of compartmentalization. Such a system groups the articles of the UDO into larger categories or “compartments,” ensuring users know where to look for specific provisions.
- Regulations should be explained with graphics and matrices to the extent practicable.
- All terms must be clearly defined, and used consistently across the breadth of the UDO.

**Use Structure**

- The UDO should maintain or expand the generic use approach of the current ordinance, with updates to definitions and use standards where appropriate.
- Ensure that a specific set of uses is delineated for each zoning district, tailored to the specific form and function of the district.
- Rethink the method by which uses are regulated in the transect districts; there need to be uses allowed by-right to achieve the vision of each of these districts.

**Encourage** creativity, and allow for development to flexibly respond to both market demands and public expectations.

**Permit** more development to occur by-right, balanced with the goal of reinforcing and enhancing existing neighborhood character.

**Integrate** land use and urban design goals through inclusion of objective standards that help produce high-quality development in the City.

**Organize** the UDO into a document that is user-friendly, predictable, and consistent in its application.

**Increase** the transparency and predictability of the City of Saratoga Springs’ development processes.

Summary of Key Concepts and Approaches by Topic

- The UDO must acknowledge the breadth of new and emerging uses within the region – things like micro-breweries, marijuana dispensaries, and maker/creator/co-working spaces must be considered and addressed within the regulations.
- A full range of temporary uses should also be addressed within the UDO, including temporary outdoor entertainment events, outdoor sales, pop-up retail, food trucks, farmer’s markets, etc.

Zoning Districts

- Each district within the ordinance must be evaluated to determine its continued utility in light of modern development patterns and changes in City policy; districts should be reorganized or restructured where needed.
- All 31 current districts within the Saratoga Springs Zoning Ordinance have been analyzed in the full technical report, which contains recommendations regarding consolidation or reorientation of certain districts to provide more and better guidance for new development, leaving less to chance as the City continues to develop.
On-Site Development Standards

- The UDO should include on-site exterior lighting controls, to minimize light pollution and acknowledge both safety and aesthetic concerns.
- Expand the range of accessory structures addressed within the ordinance, and include definitions and regulations controlling the size, height, and location of structures, as well as required screening.
- Standards for home occupations should protect residential neighborhood character, while providing flexibility to ensure a range of home occupations, both current and emerging can be accommodated.
- Consider allowing accessory dwelling units in residential zoning districts. Such a provision can create new housing units while respecting the scale of existing single-family neighborhoods, and encouraging the preservation/reuse of the City’s existing stock of carriage houses. Specific standards can be crafted to manage any potential impacts of accessory dwelling units on neighboring properties.
- Tree preservation requirements should be included within the UDO, prohibiting the removal of significant trees without permission from the City.
- Street tree requirements, including selection and spacing should be addressed within the UDO, referencing the City’s Urban Forest Master Plan.
- The City’s sign regulations should be comprehensively revised. Sign area limitations should be brought within reasonable limits and proportioned to the form of development anticipated within each district.
- Sign regulations should be evaluated and refined to ensure content neutrality, in alignment with recent case law.

Public Realm

- The UDO should comprehensively address the public realm through the inclusion of items such as right-of-way design standards to implement complete streets principles, sidewalk and block design standards, and connectivity requirements for new development.

Administration

- Reorganize the administration sections of the UDO to ensure the processes are more transparent and user-friendly.
- Include new provisions to ease administration for both the City and applicants, such as a completeness review, to avoid the submittal and processing of incomplete applications, and an optional pre-application review to allow applicants to receive staff input prior to formal application or payment of fees.
- Update approval standards and timeframes for each of the applications in the UDO, and consider adding flexibility in the variance process through the creation of an administrative modification, which would allow minor variations from select standards to be approved administratively.
- Update and modernize the City’s Planned Unit Development Process.
- Update the City’s nonconformity regulations, to address a full range of nonconforming situations, from structures and uses, to signs and lot characteristics such as required landscape.

Parking, Landscape, and Signs

- Required parking ratios should be updated to reflect modern standards and local demand, including continuation of parking maximums for larger-scale uses such as retail centers and office parks.
- The design of both parking lots and structures should be addressed through the UDO.
- Expand requirements for bicycle parking beyond the transect districts, to further goals related to sustainability and community mobility.
- Incorporate additional parking flexibilities into the ordinance, such as exemptions for buildings that are being reused, or for businesses below a certain size threshold. The creation of a fee-in-lieu structure should also be explored, allowing the City to receive funds to be used to construct off-site parking when on-site requirements cannot be met.
- Include landscape standards to address a variety of contexts, including site landscape requirements, interior and rear buffer yards, and standards for both the perimeter and interior of parking lots.
- Plant selection, installation, and maintenance requirements should be further detailed to ensure successful implementation of the City’s landscape requirements.
# Full Environmental Assessment Form

## Part 1 - Project and Setting

### Instructions for Completing Part 1

**Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor.** Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either “Yes” or “No”. If the answer to the initial question is “Yes”, complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is “No”, proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

### A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Action or Project:</th>
<th>Saratoga Greenbelt Trail Downtown Connector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):</td>
<td>City of Saratoga Springs, from Lake Avenue in the west to NY Route 50 Bike Pedestrian Crossing at Exit 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed project will construct an 8 to 10-foot wide, off-road multi-use trail on the north side of High Rock Ave and Excelsior Ave between Lake Ave and Route 50. In addition, the project will construct missing sections of sidewalk on the south side of High Rock Ave and Excelsior Ave between Lake Ave and Route 50; reconfigure the High Rock Avenue/Excelsior Avenue/Warren Street intersections; construct an off-road multi-use trail along East Ave between Excelsior Ave and the Spring Run Trail head, and where needed provide pedestrian signal improvements, and supporting signage, landscaping, and streetscape amenities. The Saratoga Greenbelt Trail Downtown Connector is a 1.66-mile urban segment of the greater Saratoga Greenbelt Trail (SGT) system, a 24 mile network of trails that upon completion will form a continuous loop with interconnected feeder streets and trails throughout the City of Saratoga Springs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Applicant/Sponsor:</th>
<th>Telephone: 518-587-3550 x2534</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Saratoga Springs</td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Christina.Carton@Saratoga-Springs.org">Christina.Carton@Saratoga-Springs.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address: 474 Broadway</th>
<th>State: NY</th>
<th>Zip Code: 12866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City/PO: Saratoga Springs</td>
<td>Telephone: (518) 587-3550 x2534</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): Tina Carton, Admin of Parks, Open Lands, Historic Preservation, and Sustainability</td>
<td>E-Mail: <a href="mailto:Christina.Carton@Saratoga-Springs.org">Christina.Carton@Saratoga-Springs.org</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>State:</th>
<th>Zip Code:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City/PO:</td>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Owner (if not same as sponsor): City of Saratoga Springs and various other private property owners</td>
<td>E-Mail:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address:</th>
<th>State:</th>
<th>Zip Code:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City/PO:</td>
<td>Telephone:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## B. Government Approvals

### B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship

(“Funding” includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Government Entity</th>
<th>If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required</th>
<th>Application Date (Actual or projected)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. City Counsel, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No City Council: Approval of trail design, ROW, construction, and funding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commission</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Appeals</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Other local agencies</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. County agencies</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Regional agencies</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. State agencies</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No NYSDOT, NYSHPO, USFWS, NYSDEC</td>
<td>SHPO (2/4/19), USFWS(4/2/18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Federal agencies</td>
<td>☑ Yes □ No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

i. Coastal Resources.
  
  1. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway? ☑ Yes □ No
  
  2. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? ☑ Yes □ No
  
  3. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? ☑ Yes □ No

## C. Planning and Zoning

### C.1. Planning and zoning actions

Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed? ☑ Yes □ No

- If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.
- If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1

### C.2. Adopted land use plans

a. Do any municipally-adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located? ☑ Yes □ No

If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? ☑ Yes □ No

b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway; Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other)?

If Yes, identify the plan(s):

- Remediation Sites: S46036, Remediation Sites: S46039, Remediation Sites: S46015, NYS Heritage Areas: Mohawk Valley Heritage Corridor, NYS Heritage Areas: Saratoga

The project is adjacent to these Remediation Sites, but will not disturb these areas.

---

c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?

If Yes, identify the plan(s):

- City of Saratoga Springs Comprehensive Plan (2015) and Open Space Plan (2002); Saratoga Greenbelt Trail Plan (2014)
C.3. Zoning

a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. ☑ Yes ☐ No
   If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district? T-5, T-6, UR-1, U-5, INST-PR

b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? ☑ Yes ☐ No

c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?

C.4. Existing community services.

a. In what school district is the project site located? Saratoga Springs City School District

b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?
   Saratoga Springs Police Department

c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?
   Saratoga Springs Fire Department

d. What parks serve the project site?
   High Rock Park and Spring Run Trail

D. Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development

a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)? Alternative clean transportation and recreational

b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? 6.1 acres
   b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? 5.75 acres
   c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? 5.34 acres

c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? ☑ Yes ☐ No
   i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet)? % ____________________ Units:

d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? ☑ Yes ☐ No
   If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)

   ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? ☑ Yes ☐ No
   iii. Number of lots proposed? __________
   iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum __________ Maximum __________

e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? ☑ Yes ☐ No
   i. If No, anticipated period of construction: __________ months
   ii. If Yes:
   - Total number of phases anticipated
   - Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) __________ month __________ year
   - Anticipated completion date of final phase __________ month __________ year
   - Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases:
f. Does the project include new residential uses?  
   If Yes, show numbers of units proposed.  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Phase</th>
<th>Two Family</th>
<th>Three Family</th>
<th>Multiple Family (four or more)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At completion of all phases</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)?  
   If Yes,  
   i. Total number of structures  
   ii. Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure: _______ height; _______ width; and _______ length  
   iii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: _______ square feet  

h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? If Yes,  
   i. Purpose of the impoundment:  
   ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water:  
      - Ground water  
      - Surface water streams  
      - Other specify:  
   iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source.  

   iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment.  
      Volume: _______ million gallons; surface area: _______ acres  
   v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: _______ height; _______ length  
   vi. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete):  

D.2. Project Operations  

a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both?  
   (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite)  
   If Yes,  
   i. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging?  
   ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?  
      - Volume (specify tons or cubic yards):  
      - Over what duration of time?  
   iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them.  

   iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials?  
      If yes, describe.  

   v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? _______ acres  
   vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? _______ acres  
   vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? _______ feet  
   viii. Will the excavation require blasting?  
   If Yes:  
   i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): NYS wetland S-59 wetland checkzone of Spring Run  

b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area?  
   If Yes:  
   i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description):  
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ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
The 10’ wide asphalt trail will be built on the north side of the culvert that falls within the 100’ adjacent area to a NYSDEC Wetland. There will be no impacts to the wetland directly.

iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes, describe:

iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   • acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: _______________________
   • expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: _______________________
   • purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): _______________________
   • proposed method of plant removal:
   • if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): _______________________
   v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:

   ____________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________

   c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: ________________________ gallons/day
   ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   • Name of district or service area: ________________________
   • Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? □ Yes □ No
   • Is the project site in the existing district? □ Yes □ No
   • Is expansion of the district needed? □ Yes □ No
   • Do existing lines serve the project site? □ Yes □ No
   iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   • Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: ________________________
   • Source(s) of supply for the district:
   iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? □ Yes □ No
   If, Yes:
   • Applicant/sponsor for new district: ________________________
   • Date application submitted or anticipated: ________________________
   • Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: ________________________
   v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:
   vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity: ________________________ gallons/minute.

   d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: ________________________ gallons/day
   ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each):
   ____________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________

   iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   • Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: ________________________
   • Name of district: ________________________
   • Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? □ Yes □ No
   • Is the project site in the existing district? □ Yes □ No
   • Is expansion of the district needed? □ Yes □ No
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?  
   □ Yes □ No  
   If Yes:  
   - Applicant/sponsor for new district:  
   - Date application submitted or anticipated:  
   - What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?

v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including specifying proposed receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):

vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:

- c. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?  
   □ Yes □ No  
   If Yes:  
   i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?  
      ________ Square feet or _______ acres (impervious surface)  
      ________ Square feet or _______ acres (parcel size)  
   ii. Describe types of new point sources N/A

iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent properties, groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?  
The stormwater runoff from the trail will be directed to existing catch basins in the roadways and adjacent properties were applicable.

- iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?  
   □ Yes □ No

f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?  
   □ Yes □ No

   If Yes, identify:
   i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)

   ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)

   iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)

- g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?  
   □ Yes □ No

   If Yes:
   i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)  
       □ Yes □ No

   ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O)
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆)
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
       - ______ Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)
h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? Yes\(^\Box\) No
   If Yes:
   i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):
   ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring):

i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? Yes\(^\Box\) No
   If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):

j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? Yes\(^\Box\) No
   If Yes:
   i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend Randomly between hours of _________ to _________.
   ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of truck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump trucks):

   iii. Parking spaces: Existing Proposed Net increase/decrease

iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? Yes\(^\Box\) No
v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe:

vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? Yes\(^\Box\) No
vii. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? Yes\(^\Box\) No
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Yes\(^\Box\) No

k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes\(^\Box\) No
   If Yes:
   i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:

   ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other):

   iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to an existing substation? Yes\(^\Box\) No

l. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.
   i. During Construction:
      - Monday - Friday: 7am - 7 pm
      - Saturday:
      - Sunday:
      - Holidays:
   ii. During Operations:
      - Monday - Friday: dawn to dusk
      - Saturday:
      - Sunday:
      - Holidays:
m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If yes:
   i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:

   ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?  
       ☐ Yes ☑ No
       Describe:

n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If yes:
   i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:

   ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?  
       ☐ Yes ☑ No
       Describe:

o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:

p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If Yes:
   i. Product(s) to be stored
   ii. Volume(s) _____ per unit time _____ (e.g., month, year)
   iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:

q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If Yes:
   i. Describe proposed treatment(s):

   ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?  
       ☐ Yes ☑ No

r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?  
   ☐ Yes ☑ No
   If Yes:
   i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:
      • Construction: ______________ tons per __________ (unit of time)
      • Operation: ______________ tons per __________ (unit of time)
   ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:
      • Construction:
      • Operation:
   III. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:
      • Construction:
      • Operation:
s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility?  [ ] Yes [ ] No
If Yes:
   i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):

   ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:
   - ______ Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
   - ______ Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment

   iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: ______________ years

t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste?  [ ] Yes [ ] No
If Yes:
   i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility:

   ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents:

   iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated ______ tons/month

   iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents:

   v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility?  [ ] Yes [ ] No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:

If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility:

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site

a. Existing land uses.
   i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.
   [ ] Urban  [ ] Industrial  [ ] Commercial  [ ] Residential (suburban)  [ ] Rural (non-farm)
   [ ] Forest  [ ] Agriculture  [ ] Aquatic  [ ] Other (specify): __________________________

   ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:

b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land use or Covertype</th>
<th>Current Acreage</th>
<th>Acreage After Project Completion</th>
<th>Change (Acres +/-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forested</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Describe: Residential/Business Areas</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? Yes No

i. If Yes: explain: High Rock Park and current sidewalks in project area are used for walking and running

---

d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? Yes No

If Yes,

i. Identify Facilities:
Lake Avenue Elementary School, Waldorf School of Saratoga Springs, St. Paul's Luthern Church

---

e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? Yes No

i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:
- Dam height: ______________________ feet
- Dam length: ______________________ feet
- Surface area: ______________________ acres
- Volume impounded: ______________________ gallons OR acre-feet

ii. Dam’s existing hazard classification:

iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:

---

f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Has the facility been formally closed? Yes No
- If yes, cite sources/documentation:

ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:

iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:

---

g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred:

---

h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:
- Yes – Spills Incidents database
- Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database
- Neither database

Provide DEC ID number(s):
- Spills Incidents database: Provide DEC ID number(s):
- Environmental Site Remediation database: 546036, V00300, 546015

---

ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:
The project is adjacent to Remediation Sites 546036, V00300 and 546015, but will not disturb these areas.

iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes No If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): V00475, CS46057, 546036, V00300, 546015, 546051...

iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):
The project is adjacent to or within a distance of the above referenced sites, but will not disturb these areas.
v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? □ Yes □ No
   • If yes, DEC site ID number: ____________________________
   • Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): ____________________________
   • Describe any use limitations: ____________________________
   • Describe any engineering controls: ____________________________
   • Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? □ Yes □ No
   • Explain: ____________________________________________

E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site

a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? 6 feet

b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? __________ %

c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:
   - WnB—Windsor loamy sand 50.6 %
   - DeA—Deerfield loamy fine sand 48.9 %
   - GaB—Galway loam 0.5 %

d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: 4 feet

e. Drainage status of project site soils: □ Well Drained: __________ % of site
   □ Moderately Well Drained: __________ % of site
   □ Poorly Drained __________ % of site

f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes:
   □ 0-10%: __________ % of site
   □ 10-15%: __________ % of site
   □ 15% or greater: __________ % of site

g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes, describe: ____________________________________________

h. Surface water features.
   i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, ponds or lakes)? □ Yes □ No
   ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.
   iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency? □ Yes □ No

iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:
   - Streams: Name 941-130 Classification C
   - Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification
   - Wetlands: Name Federal Waters, NYS Wetland, Federal Waters, Fe... Approximate Size NYS Wetland (in a...)
   - Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) s-39

v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired waterbodies? □ Yes □ No

   If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:
   Name - Pollutants - Uses: Tribs to Lake Lonely - Pathogens;Nutrients;D.O./Oxygen Demand - Recreation;Aquatic Life

i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? □ Yes □ No

j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain? □ Yes □ No

k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain? □ Yes □ No

l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Name of aquifer: Principal Aquifer
m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:

urban wildlife

n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):

   ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:

   iii. Extent of community/habitat:
   • Currently: ____________________________ acres
   • Following completion of project as proposed: ____________________________ acres
   • Gain or loss (indicate + or -): ____________________________ acres

o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened):

p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Species and listing:

q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing?
   □ Yes □ No
   If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:

E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site

a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:

b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present?
   □ Yes □ No
   i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site:
   ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):

c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. Nature of the natural landmark: □ Biological Community □ Geological Feature
   ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:

   d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area?
   □ Yes □ No
   If Yes:
   i. CEA name: Loughberry Lake Watershed
   ii. Basis for designation: Protect Loughberry Lake water supply
   iii. Designating agency and date: Agency: Saratoga Springs, City of, Date: 6-13-86
e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district [X] Yes [ ] No which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?

If Yes:
   i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: [ ] Archaeological Site [X] Historic Building or District
   ii. Name: Eligible property: Frame Gothic Revival Cottage (Smiley-Brackett Cottage), Eligible property: SUBSTATION BUILDING, Elig...
   iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: Broadway Historic District; Saratoga Gas, Electric Light & Power Co. Complex; Eligible Site: 300 Excelsior Avenue (Now address 182 Excelsior); Red Spring

f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? [X] Yes [ ] No

g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? [ ] Yes [X] No

If Yes:
   i. Describe possible resource(s): 
   ii. Basis for identification: 

h. Is the project site within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? [X] Yes [ ] No

If Yes:
   ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): Local park and scenic gateway area into the city
   iii. Distance between project and resource: 1.3 miles.

i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? [ ] Yes [X] No

If Yes:
   i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 
   ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? [ ] Yes [X] No

---

**F. Additional Information**

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.

If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

---

**G. Verification**

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name: City of Saratoga Springs

Date: 5/23/19

Signature: [Signature]

Title: Mayor
B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]
- No

B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]
- No

C.2.b. [Special Planning District]
- Yes - Digital mapping data are not available for all Special Planning Districts. Refer to EAF Workbook.

C.2.b. [Special Planning District - Name]

E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]

E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]

E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]

E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - DEC ID Number]

E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]

E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]

E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]

E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]
- Yes

E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]
- Yes

E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]
- Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Name]
- 941-130

E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Stream Classification]
- C
E.2.h.iv [Surface Water Features - Wetlands]

Name: Federal Waters, NYS Wetland

Size: NYS Wetland (in acres): 15.6

E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]

Basis for Listing: Yes

E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies - Name and Basis for Listing]

Name - Pollutants - Uses: Tribs to Lake Lonely – Pathogens; Nutrients; D.O./Oxygen Demand – Recreation; Aquatic Life

E.2.i. [Floodway]

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]

Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.

E.2.l. [Aquifers]

Yes

E.2.l. [Aquifer Names]

Principal Aquifer

E.2.n. [Natural Communities]

No

E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]

No

E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]

No

E.3.a. [Agricultural District]

No

E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]

No

E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]

Yes

E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area - Name]

Loughberry Lake Watershed

E.3.d.ii [Critical Environmental Area - Reason]

Protect Loughberry Lake water supply

E.3.d.iii [Critical Environmental Area – Date and Agency]

Agency: Saratoga Springs, City of, Date: 6-13-86

E.3.e. [National Register of Historic Places]

Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.

E.3.e.ii [National Register of Historic Places - Name]


E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]

Yes

E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]

No
Full Environmental Assessment Form

Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency’s reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:
- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer “Yes” to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer “No” to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box “Moderate to large impact may occur.”
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the “whole action”.
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land

Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)

If “Yes”, answer questions a - j. If “No”, move on to Section 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.</td>
<td>E2d</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.</td>
<td>E2f</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.</td>
<td>E2a</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.</td>
<td>D2a</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.</td>
<td>D1e</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).</td>
<td>D2c, D2q</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.</td>
<td>B1i</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other impacts:__________________________________________________________________________</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Impact on Geological Features
   The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)
   If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: ____________________________</td>
<td>E2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: ____________________________</td>
<td>E3c</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Other impacts: ____________________________</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Impacts on Surface Water
   The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)
   If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may create a new water body.</td>
<td>D2b, D1h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D2b</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.</td>
<td>D2a</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.</td>
<td>D2a</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.</td>
<td>D2a, D2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.</td>
<td>D2a, D2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.</td>
<td>D2c</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).</td>
<td>D2d</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.</td>
<td>D2e</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.</td>
<td>D2e</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.</td>
<td>D2q, E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.</td>
<td>D1a, D2d</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Impact on groundwater
   The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer.
   If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.</td>
<td>D2c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.</td>
<td>D2c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.</td>
<td>D1a, D2c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.</td>
<td>D2d, E2i</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.</td>
<td>D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.</td>
<td>D2p, E2i</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.</td>
<td>E2h, D2q, E2i, D2c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Impact on Flooding
   The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding.
   (See Part 1. E.2)
   If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevance</th>
<th>Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.</td>
<td>E2i</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.</td>
<td>E2j</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.</td>
<td>E2k</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.</td>
<td>D2b, D2e</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.</td>
<td>D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?</td>
<td>E1e</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. **Impacts on Air**

The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.

(See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)

*If “Yes”, answer questions a - f. If “No”, move on to Section 7.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part 1 Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂)</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O)</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆)</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HFCs) emissions</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane</td>
<td>D2h</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.</td>
<td>D2f, D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in “a” through “c”, above.</td>
<td>D2g</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.</td>
<td>D2s</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Impact on Plants and Animals**

The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.)

*If “Yes”, answer questions a - j. If “No”, move on to Section 8.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part 1 Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.</td>
<td>E2o</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.</td>
<td>E2o</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.</td>
<td>E2p</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.</td>
<td>E2p</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.  

f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  
Source: 


g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site. 

h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  
Habitat type & information source: 

i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides. 

j. Other impacts: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Impact on Agricultural Resources</th>
<th>Relevant Part 1 Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</td>
<td>E2c, E3b</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</td>
<td>E1a, E1b</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</td>
<td>E3b</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</td>
<td>E1b, E3a</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</td>
<td>E1a, E1b</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.</td>
<td>C2c, C3, D2c, D2d</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.</td>
<td>C2c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources
The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)
*If “Yes”, answer questions a - g. If “No”, go to Section 10.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E3h</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views. | E3h, C2b | ☐ | ☐ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)</td>
<td>E3h</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Year round</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work</td>
<td>E3h, E2q, E1c</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii. Recreational or tourism based activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource. | E3h | ☐ | ☐ |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-1/2 mile</td>
<td>D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/2 - 3 mile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 mile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+ mile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| g. Other impacts: | | ☐ | ☐ |

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources
The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)
*If “Yes”, answer questions a - e. If “No”, go to Section 11.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E3e</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory. | E3f | ☑ | ☐ |

| c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: | E3g | ☑ | ☐ |
d. Other impacts: ________________________________________________________________

If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:

i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.

   E3e, E3g, E3f

   □ □

ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.

   E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b

   □ □

iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.

   E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3

   □ □

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation
The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)

If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or &quot;ecosystem services&quot;, provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.</td>
<td>D2e, E1b, E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.</td>
<td>C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.</td>
<td>C2a, C2c, E1c, E2q</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.</td>
<td>C2c, E1c</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas
The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)

If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.</td>
<td>E3d</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.</td>
<td>E3d</td>
<td>□ □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13. Impact on Transportation
The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. (See Part 1. D.2.j)
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.</td>
<td>D2j</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.</td>
<td>D2j</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.</td>
<td>D2j</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.</td>
<td>D2j</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.</td>
<td>D2j</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Other impacts: The project will provide additional pedestrian and bicycle accommodations, improve safety, and health benefits by expanding recreational opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k)
If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.</td>
<td>D2k</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.</td>
<td>D1f, D1q, D2k</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.</td>
<td>D2k</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</td>
<td>D1g</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Other Impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light
The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Relevant Part I Question(s)</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.</td>
<td>D2m</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.</td>
<td>D2m, E1d</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.</td>
<td>D2o</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.  
   Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   D2n | ✓ | □

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.  
   Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   D2n, Ela | ✓ | □

f. Other impacts: The cobalt style lighting along High Rock Avenue, bordering High Rock Park, will be replaced with the City preferred LED historic lighting.  
   Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | ✓ | □

16. Impact on Human Health  
The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part I.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)  
If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

| a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | E1d | □ | □

| b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elg, Elh | □ | □

| c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elg, Elh | □ | □

| d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elg, Elh | □ | □

| e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elg, Elh | □ | □

| f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | D2t | □ | □

| g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | D2q, Elf | □ | □

| h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | D2q, Elf | □ | □

| i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | D2r, D2s | □ | □

| j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elf, Elg Elh | □ | □

| k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | Elf, Elg | □ | □

| l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site. | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | D2s, Elf, D2r | □ | □

| m. Other impacts: | Relevant Part I Question(s) | No, or small impact may occur | Moderate to large impact may occur  
   | | | |
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### 17. Consistency with Community Plans
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.
(See Part 1. C.1, C.2, and C.3.)
If “Yes”, answer questions a - h. If “No”, go to Section 18.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. The proposed action’s land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</th>
<th>C2, C3, D1a, E1a, E1b</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.</td>
<td>C2</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.</td>
<td>C2, C2, C3</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.</td>
<td>C2, C2</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.</td>
<td>C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1g, E1b</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.</td>
<td>C4, D2c, D2d, D2j</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)</td>
<td>C2a</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 18. Consistency with Community Character
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.
(See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)
If “Yes”, answer questions a - g. If “No”, proceed to Part 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.</th>
<th>E3e, E3f, E3g</th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)</td>
<td>C4</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.</td>
<td>C2, C3, D1f, D1g, E1a</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.</td>
<td>C2, E3</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.</td>
<td>C2, C3</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.</td>
<td>C2, C3, E1a, E1b, E2g, E2h</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Other impacts:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Full Environmental Assessment Form

Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:
To complete this section:
- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Technical reports and correspondence supporting this conclusion contained within the project file include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Full Environmental Assessment Form Parts I & II
2. Design Report, March, 2019 prepared by GPI
3. Endangered Species Act Determination April 2, 2018
4. State Historic Preservation Office Determination dated February 4, 2019
5. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation SEQR Lead Agency Coordination Response dated March 29, 2019
6. City of Saratoga Greenbelt Overall Trail Map
7. City of Saratoga Historic District LED Luminaire Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEQR Status: ☑️ Type 1 ☐ Unlisted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: ☑️ Part 1 ☑️ Part 2 ☑️ Part 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEAF 2019
Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information

and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the
City of Saratoga

as lead agency that:

☑  A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact
    statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

☑  B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or
    substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative
declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).

☐  C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact
    statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those
    impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: Saratoga Greenbelt Trail Downtown Connector

Name of Lead Agency: City of Saratoga

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Meg Kelly

Title of Responsible Officer: Mayor

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Meg Kelly

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

For Further Information:

Contact Person: Christina Carton, Admin of Parks, Open Lands, Historic Preservation, and Sustainability
Address: 474 Broadway, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866
Telephone Number: 518-587-3550 x2534
E-mail: Christina.Carton@Saratoga-Springs.org

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)
Other involved agencies (if any)
Applicant (if any)
State Environmental Quality Review
Part II and III Supplemental Information

Name of Project: Saratoga Greenbelt Trail Downtown Connector

Location: City of Saratoga Springs, High Rock Ave/Excelsior Ave between Lake Avenue and the western entrance of the NYSDOT Route 50/87 bicycle and pedestrian overpass

Impact on Land

Evaluation of FEAF Part 2, Question 1, sub-questions a through h resulted in 'no or small impact' responses. While the impact is considered small, further information is provided below for sub-questions b and f.

b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.

The project will involve construction on slopes of 15% on a 700 linear foot area of trail. This section of trail will be constructed with three-rail timber fencing to shield trail users from the sleep slopes. Additionally, the slopes will be reinforced with erosion control measures and/or seeded to prevent future erosion. The proposed project will also result in the creation of approximately 1.4 acres of impervious surface; however, this is will be mitigated by constructing additional landscaping throughout the project area.

f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).

The proposed project will require physical disturbance of soil and/or vegetation removal; however best management practices for erosion control and soil stabilization will be applied during construction and have been incorporated into the project design to minimize the impact of soil disturbance.

Impact on Surface Water

Evaluation of FEAF Part 2, Question 3, sub-questions a through l resulted in 'no or small impact' responses. While the impact is considered small, further information is provided below for sub-question d.

d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.

State Wetlands

The Freshwater Wetlands Act (Article 24 and Title 23 of Article 71 of the Environmental Conservation Law) gives the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC) jurisdiction over state-protected wetlands and adjacent areas (100-foot upland buffer). Based on a review of NYSDEC Freshwater Wetland GIS maps for Saratoga County, Saratoga Springs Quadrangle, and as verified by a site visit, a State regulated wetland 100-foot adjacent buffer zone is within the project area at the culvert located approximately 450’ east of the intersection of Marion Avenue and Excelsior Avenue. A formal wetland delineation was conducted on July 2018 to confirm the boundaries of wetlands at this location. This report concluded that the project will not include any work on the existing culvert or in the State regulated wetlands, but will impact the 100-foot adjacent buffer area. The project may require a NYSDEC Article 24 Freshwater Wetlands Permit, pursuant to 9 NYCRR 578, for proposed work in the state regulated adjacent area (100ft). The
permit will be obtained from NYSDEC once the exact location and extent of any potential impacts are ascertained.

Federal Wetlands

In accordance with the Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the USACE has regulatory jurisdiction over Waters of the United States (WOUS). As defined by the USACE, WOUS includes lakes, ponds, streams (intermittent and perennial), and wetlands. Based on office desktop reviews and a formal wetland delineation, federal jurisdictional wetlands exist east of Veterans Way. The proposed project will not impact the Federal Wetlands areas and no permits are anticipated to be necessary.

Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources

Evaluation of FEAF Part 2, Question 10, sub-questions a through e resulted in 'no or small impact' responses. While the impact is considered small, further information is provided below for sub-question a.

a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places

The following properties are listed on, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places has been identified within the project's area of potential effect.

- Broadway Historic District
- Saratoga Gas, Electric Light & Power Co. Complex
- Red Spring
- 300 Excelsior Avenue (Now addressed 182 Excelsior Avenue)
- Frame Gothic Revival Cottage (Smiley-Brackett Cottage)

The project activities do not have the potential to adversely affect these historic properties. The undertaking will not alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics that qualify the properties for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. A letter from the New York State Historic Preservation Office was received on February 04, 2019 that concurs with the finding that the project will have no adverse effect on these resources.

Impact on Transportation

Evaluation of FEAF Part 2, Question 13, sub-questions a through f resulted in 'no or small impact' responses. While the impact is considered small, further information is provided below for sub-question e.

e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.

The proposed project will modify the present pattern of movement of people by filling in the gaps of the existing sidewalk system and adding a 10-foot wide shared use path that will offer a safe off-road alternative mode of transportation for people who choose to walk or bike along the corridor. Additionally, this extension of the Saratoga Greenbelt Trail would bring a number of benefits to City residents and tourists, including:
• Advancing the completion of the overall Greenbelt Trail Network that is shown in the attached map. This trail network is in the form of a figure-eight in and around the City and when complete will provide 24 miles of trails;
• Connects neighborhoods with commercial centers, parks, and jobs;
• Provides recreational and health benefits by serving as an alternative mode of transportation;
• Provides a non-motorized connection between the Downtown Connector and the Spring Run Trail; and,
• Provides a safe off-road, ADA compliant trail along the High Rock Avenue/Excelsior Avenue for bicyclists and pedestrians of all ages and abilities.

Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

Evaluation of FEAF Part 2, Question 15, sub-questions a through f resulted in 'no or small impact' responses. While the impact is considered small, further information is provided below for sub-questions d and e.

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.

e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.

The proposed project will include replacing the overhead cobra style lighting along High Rock Avenue bordering High Rock Park with (12) historical LED city standard detail decorative street lights. The new lighting fixtures include dark sky compliant acorns that will not cause glare on neighboring properties, or sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions. The City standard detail is attached to this document.

In summary, the Lead Agency has determined that the proposed project will not result in a significant adverse impact on the environment.

1. While the proposed project may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater, and will disturb soil and vegetation, best management practices for erosion control and soil stabilization will be applied during construction and have been incorporated into the project design to minimize the impact of soil disturbance.

2. While the project will be constructed within a NYSDEC protected wetland adjacent area (100-foot upland buffer), it will not have a direct impact on any State or Federal wetlands, surface water bodies or streams.

3. While the proposed project is located wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, properties that are listed on, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places, a letter from the New York State Historic Preservation Office was received on February 04, 2019 that concurs with the finding that the project will have no adverse effect on these resources.

4. While the proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods, the changes will provide may safety and health benefits to residents and visitors alike, in addition to advancing the vision for the Saratoga Greenbelt Trail which was unanimously adopted by the Saratoga Springs City Council on May 6th 2014.
5. While the project includes the installation of additional street lighting along High Rock Avenue, the new lighting will be energy efficient, aesthetically pleasing, and will not cause glare on neighboring properties, or sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.